

In Re Application Of: Robert E. Shaw
Serial No. 10/667,107
Filed: 09/19/2003
For: A Multiple and Par....

Examiner: Kenneth R. Hartman
Group Art Unit: 2619
Atty. Docket No:
Date: June 24, 2008



RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

This is in response to the Ex Parte Quayle Office Action dated 02/01/2008. In the Office Action the application was stated to be in condition for allowance except for a single formal matter, dealing with the drawings.

CORRECTIONS TO THE DRAWINGS

The drawings were objected to “because they do not contain sufficient descriptive text labels for the objects”. A marked-up copy of each changed drawing with the changes in red and labeled in the top margin as “Replacement Sheet – Annotated Sheets” is enclosed and presented herein, with the changes explained as follows:

Figure 1, read left-to-right, has had [4] labeled “RJ45 Monitoring Ports”, [6] labeled “Dust Plug (Tethered)”, [11] labeled “Link Status LED”, [9] labeled “Power LED”, [2] labeled “RJ45 Network Ports”, and [7] labeled “Dust Plug (Not Attached)”. It is labeled “Exterior front perspective view”.

Figure 2, read left-to-right, has had [4a-4d] labeled “Monitoring Ports”, [11] labeled “Link Status LED”, [9] labeled “Power LED”, and [2a-2b] labeled “Network Ports”. It is labeled “Exterior front view”.

Figure 3, read left-to-right, has had [4a-4d] labeled “Monitoring Ports”, and [2a-2b] labeled “Network Ports”. It is labeled “Exterior top view”.

Figure 4, read left-to-right, has had [4a-4d] labeled “Monitoring Ports”, [16a-16d] labeled “Splitters”, [10] labeled “Splitters (2 Pairs)”, [9] labeled “Power LED”, [12] labeled “Signal Regenerating Board”, [2a-2b] labeled “Network Ports”, [14] labeled